## Is Same Day Discharge After Catheter Ablation for Atrial Fibrillation Safe?

Sonal A. Patel, MMS, PA-C; Julie A. Merz; Jillian Hanifin, RN; Henry D. Huang, MD; Timothy Larsen, DO; Jeremiah Wasserlauf, MD; Parikshit S. Sharma, MD, MPH Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois

#### - BACKGROUND -

- The COVID-19 pandemic impacted hospital capacity which adversely affected bed availability among patients
- undergoing AF ablation.
  The Electrophysiology (EP) department at Rush modified the discharge protocol for patients post AF ablation.
- The aim of the study was to assess the impact of same day discharges (SDD) compared to next day discharges (NDD) on length of stay (LOS) and 30- day post procedural complications.

### METHODOLOGY

- A retrospective analysis of patients who had elective AF ablations Pre-COVID (January 1, 2019 to December 31, 2019) and Post-COVID (January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021).
- Patients underwent SDD or were observed overnight with NDD.
- Data on LOS, procedural complications, ED visits, and hospitalizations within 30 days of discharge with SDD and NDD was collected.
- Procedural complications included AV fistula, pseudoaneurysm, hematoma (BARC Type 3), DVT, pericardial effusion or tamponade, and CVA or TIA.

#### VALUE PROPOSITION

- The implementation of SDD for patients after AF ablation during the COVID-19 pandemic helped decrease hospital burden & costs without significantly impacting outcomes.
- Patients who prefer SDD also had higher satisfaction as this minimized their risk for hospital acquired infections & allowed them to recover at home.

	SDD	NDD	Total
Pre-COVID (2019)	6	126	132
Post-COVID (2021)	103	170	273
Total	109	296	405

RESULTS

- There was a **statistically significant** difference in mean <u>LOS</u> for **SDD** (11.65 hrs. + 1.91) and **NDD** (29.16 hrs. + 3.31) ( $t_{270}$  = -55.391, p < 0.000, r=0.96 representing a large effect size) in the post-COVID cohort.
- There was also a **statistically significant difference** in <u>LOS</u> between **Post-COVID NDD** (median 29, IQR: 27-31) and the **Pre-COVID cohort** (median 31, IQR: 29.5-32.5), (U=6258, z= -6.624, p < 0.000, r= -0.38 representing a medium effect size) showing that overall LOS had improved with NDD for patients who underwent AF ablation (Graph B).
- There were **no statistically significant differences** in <u>complications, ED visits, or hospitalizations</u> in AF ablations performed Post-COVID that had **SDD** compared to **NDD** (Chi square test:  $c_{(1df)}^2 = .246$ , p=.301; ED visits:  $c_{(1df)}^2 = .092$ , p=.762; hospital admissions:  $c_{(1df)}^2 = .665$ , p=.415).
- There were **no statistically significant differences** in <u>complications, ED visits, or hospitalizations</u> among AF ablations performed **Pre-COVID** and **SDD Post-COVID** (Chi square test:  $c_{(1df)}^2 = 2.371$ , p=.258; ED visits:  $c_{(1df)}^2 = .230$ , p=.631; hospital admissions:  $c_{(1df)}^2 = .012$ , p=.911). (Graph C)







### - CONCLUSIONS

- During the COVID-19 pandemic, modifying the discharge protocols for catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation **did not significantly increase the complication** rate, ED visits, or hospitalizations in SDD when compared to NDD.
- Furthermore, SDD did significantly decrease the LOS.
- Future studies that investigate SDD protocols after routine AF ablations would help establish SDD as common practice for EP providers.

#### REFERENCES

Safety of Same Day Discharge after Atrial Fibrillation Ablation. Devender N Akula, MD, Wassef Mariam, DO,and Saccheti Alfred, MD. J Atr Fibrillation. 2020 Feb-Mar, 12(5): 2150.

# **RUSH**